Untertently ethand is an organic solvent

Organic Solvents and Multiple Sclerosis: A Synthesis of the Current Evidence

Anne-Marie Landtblom,¹ Ulf Flodin,² Birgitta Söderfeldt,¹ Christina Wolfson,³ and Olav Axelson²

To evaluate the possible relation between exposure to organic solvents and the development of multiple sclerosis, we carried out a best-evidence synthesis of the available information. We found 13 studies with varying methodology that included information on solvent exposure. In 10 of the studies, there were indications of an increased risk of multiple sclerosis in relation to solvent exposure. We made three selections of studies for both pooled analyses and meta-analyses. The relative risk point estimates that we obtained varied from 1.7 to 2.6. Our evaluation is consistent with the hypothesis that organic solvents may be a cause of multiple sclerosis. (Epidemiology 1996;7: 429-433)

Keywords: meta-analysis, organic solvents, multiple sclerosis, exposure.

The causes of multiple sclerosis (MS) remain unknown despite intensive research. There is evidence for both genetic¹ and environmental factors.^{2,3} A number of epidemiologic studies of MS have targeted occupational exposures, including organic solvent exposure. Our aim in this paper is to review all currently available studies on MS and solvent exposure.

Methods

We knew some of the pertinent studies of MS and solvent exposure from our work in the field, and we also carried out a literature search for the period 1966–1994 in the MEDLARS database. We reviewed all available studies for a best-evidence synthesis, a technique first proposed by Slavin.⁴ This method combines the detailed analysis of critical issues and study characteristics of traditional narrative reviews with the quantification and systematic literature search methods of meta-analysis.

We evaluated studies in terms of (a) how many of the cases in the catchment area were likely to have been enrolled, (b) the adequacy of diagnostic criteria, (c) the choice of controls in case-control studies with respect to their representativeness of the source population for the cases, (d) the methodology of exposure assessment and

Submitted June 7, 1995; final version accepted February 14, 1996.

© 1996 by Epidemiology Resources Inc.

(e) dose-response analysis, (f) control of confounding, and (g) assessment of effect modification (Table 1).

First, we included all available studies, representing a total of 1,079 cases (selection 1). We then excluded studies based on prevalence comparisons and analyzed the seven case-control studies that presented an effect estimate, representing a total of 890 cases (selection 2). Finally, we selected the three case-control studies that had the most similar design, included exposure assessment, and had a reasonably large size; these studies comprised 312 cases (selection 3).

For each of the three selections of studies, we calculated a pooled Mantel-Haenszel relative risk^{5,6} ($RR_{MH-pool}$) and a "meta" RR (RR_{meta}), using a formula that enabled us to pool studies of different design as far as they provide an estimate of the same underlying relative risk.⁷ The RR_{MHpool} estimates have the advantage of including study-specific data on the number of individuals. On the other hand, the RR_{meta} estimates are based on data after adjustment for confounding, where such procedures were performed.

Results

We found 13 published studies concerning solvent exposure and MS (9 case-control studies, 1 proportional mortality study, 2 prevalence comparisons, and 1 ecologic study) (Table 2).

PREVALENCE COMPARISON STUDIES

The first published study addressing the question of MS and solvent exposure was a prevalence survey from Italy in 1982.⁸ The authors compared the prevalence of MS among workers in the shoe and leather industry in Florence with the prevalence of MS among the economically active and among the general population of the

From the Departments of ¹Clinical Neuroscience and ²Occupational and Environmental Medicine, University Hospital, Linköping, Sweden; and ³Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, McGill University, Montréal, Quebec, Canada.

Address correspondence to: Anne-Marie Landtblom, Department of Clinical Neuroscience, University Hospital, S-581 85 Linköping, Sweden.

		Appropriateness of Analysis		Cases/Controls		Exposure		
Author	Dose- Response Analysis	Control of Confounding	Assessment of Effect Modification	Adequate Interview Procedure	Clear Case Definition	Good Case Coverage	Appropriate Control Selection	Good Exposure Assessment
Case-control studies								
Flodin et al ¹¹	+	+	+	+	(+)	+	+	+
Juntunen et al ¹²		+		د +	+	+	+	+
Koch-Henriksen ¹³	(+)	+	-	、 +	+	+	+	(+)
Souberbielle et al14	`_'	+	- 0	+	+	+	+	-
Hopkins et al ¹⁵	-	+	-	+	+	+	+	-
Grönning et al ¹⁶	+	+	+	+	+	+	(+)	+
Landtblom et al ¹⁷		+	-	+	(+)	+	+	+
Nelson et al ¹⁸	+	+	—	. +	+	+	-	+
Casetta et al ¹⁹	_	-	-	+	+	+	+	
Prevalence comparisons								
Amaducci et al ⁸	0	0	0	-0	+	+	0	0
Giuliani et al ^{9,10}	Ō	Ô Í	0	Ó	+	+	0	0

TABLE 1. Weighting* of Studies for Pooled Analyses and Meta-analyses with Regard to Solvent Expos

* + = yes; - = no; (+) = yes with some reservation; 0 = not relevant.

city, respectively. The prevalence ratio was 4.9 relative to each control group. Another prevalence study, presented in $1988,^{9,10}$ reported a prevalence ratio of 3.1 for shoe and leather workers.

CASE-CONTROL STUDIES

The first case-control study, conducted in Sweden, appeared in 1988¹¹ and found an increased odds ratio (OR) for solvent exposure in men, especially in combination with welding. In 1989, Juntunen *et al*¹² published a study that investigated solvent exposure among twins with MS and their healthy twin siblings. They found a negative association. In contrast, Koch-Henriksen,¹³ in a matched case-control study, found an OR of 2.0 [95% confidence interval (CI) = 0.8-4.7]. Souberbielle *et al*¹⁴ reported a case-control study that listed the professions of cases and controls but did not present any estimation of actual solvent exposure. No predominance of occupations with a likely solvent exposure was found among the cases.

Hopkins <i>et al</i> , ¹⁵ in a case-control study, investigated complete occupational histories, but there was little correlation between MS and "exposure to various chemicals, radiation or potentially toxic gases." Specific fig-
ures for organic solvent exposure were not presented.
Grönning et al, ¹⁶ in a case-control study from Norway,
found an increased OR of 1.6 (95% $CI = 0.8-2.9$), and
Landtblom et al, ¹⁷ in a case-control study, also reported
a positive effect, particularly in men ($OR = 3.3$; 95% CI
= $1.1-9.5$). Also, in a case-control study of automobile
plant workers, Nelson et al ¹⁸ found an association be-
tween exposure to organic solvents and chronic neuro-
logic disease, MS in particular, although the numbers were small. Recently, Casetta <i>et al</i> ¹⁹ reported an OR of 4.0 (95% CI = $1.4-11.1$).

PROPORTIONAL MORTALITY STUDIES

Milham²⁰ reported occupational mortality data from Washington state for 1959–1979, which showed a

higher than expected MS mortality in automobile mechanics and draftsmen, two occupations with solvent exposure. For the larger diagnostic group, "inflammatory diseases of the nervous system," which contains MS cases, Milham found an elevated proportional mortality ratio for airplane mechanics, who are likely to be exposed to solvents.

ECOLOGIC STUDIES

Lauer²¹ published an ecologic study in 1989 examining the association between the risk of MS and the proportion of workers in different industries in four European countries. For the chemical industry, in which exposure to organic solvents is comparatively common, there was a positive correlation in only one of the four countries.

	TABLE 2.	Studies of	f Multiple	Sclerosis and	Solvent	Exposure
--	----------	------------	------------	---------------	---------	----------

Author and Year	Place	Study Design	RR	95% CI	Number of Cases
Case-control studies					
Flodin et al. ¹¹ 1988	Sweden		1.9	0.9-3.7	82
Juntunen et al, 12 1989	Finland	Co-twin study	0.2	0.02-1.7	21
Koch-Henriksen,13 1989	Denmark	Matched	2.0	0.8-4.7	187
Souberbielle et al,14 1990	France		Not	calculable	230
Hopkins et al, ¹⁵ 1991	USA	Matched	Not	calculable	16
Grönning et al, ¹⁶ 1993	Norway		1.6	0.8-2.9	139
Landtblom et al, ¹⁷ 1993	Sweden		2.8	1.3-5.5	·· 91
Nelson et al, ¹⁸ 1994	USA	Matched	2.7	0.6–13.0	20
Casetta et al, ¹⁹ 1994	Italy		4.0	1.4-11.1	104
Various study designs					
Amaducci et al, ⁸ 1982	Italy	Prevalence comparison		2.1-11.0	81
Giuliani et al, ^{9,10} 1988	Italy	Prevalence comparison	3.1	2.0-4.8	108
Milham, ²⁰ 1983	USÁ	Proportional mortality study	(PM	$R^* = 233$	
Lauer, ²¹ 1989	Europe	Ecologic study	(r,	(† = 0.4)	84
Total cases					1,163

* Proportional mortality ratio for automobile mechanics; see text.

† r, for chemical industry in Denmark; see text.

Author and Year	Measure of Solvent Exposure			
Case-control studies				
Flodin et al, 11 1988	Self-report.* Minimum 1 year occupational exposure. Exposure intensity reported. Latency criterion			
Juntunen <i>et a</i> l, ¹² 1989	Self-report. Crude exposure intensity reported			
Koch-Henriksen, ¹³ 1989	Self-report. Three exposure levels			
Souberbielle et al, ¹⁴ 1990	Exposure defined by occupation; see text			
Hopkins et al, ¹⁵ 1991	Self-reported exposure to "hazardous/toxic chemicals"			
Grönning et al, ¹⁶ 1993	Self-report. Minimum 1 year of occupational exposure. Exposure intensity, duration, load reported			
Landtblom et al, ¹⁷ 1993	Self-report. Minimum 1 year of occupational exposure. Exposure intensity reported. Latency criterion			
Nelson et al, ¹⁸ 1994	Semiquantitative exposure indices: industrial hygiene records and air sampling (per plant)			
Casetta et al, ¹⁹ 1994	Self-report. Minimum 8 months of occupational exposure. Three crude exposure levels			
Various study designs				
Amaducci et al,8 1982	Exposure defined by occupation: shoe and leather worker			
Giuliani et al. ^{9,10} 1988	Exposure defined by occupation: shoe and leather worker			
 Milham,²⁰ 1983 	Exposure defined by occupation: different; see text			
Lauer, ²¹ 1989	Exposure defined by branch: chemical industry			

TABLE 3. Assessment of Solvent Exposure in 13 Studies of Multiple Sclerosis Patients

* Self-report = by questionnaire and/or interview.

For the leather industry, with less ubiquitous exposure to organic solvents, there were positive correlations in two of the four countries.

EXPOSURE ASSESSMENT

In the studies reviewed, the assessments of solvent exposure ranged from crude estimates based on information about work in a certain industry ${\rm branch}^{21}$ or self-

		RR		
	0,01	0,1	1 2 10	
Amaducci, Ita	ily 1982 (8)			
Flodin, Sweden	n 1988 (11)			
Gluliani, Italy	1988 (9,10)		-+-	
Juntunen, Finland	d 1989 (12) —			
Koch-Henriksen, Denmar	k 1989 (13)			-
Grönning, Norway	y 1993 (16)			
Landthiom, Sweden	_			
Nelson, US/	·			
Casetta, Italy	y 1994 (19)			
Pooled and met	a-enelvees			
Selection 1 (All studies)	RR _{MH cool}		-	
Selection 1 (All studies)	RR mete		-+-	
Selection 2 (11,12,13,16,17,18,	19) RR HH pool		-	
Selection 3 (11,18,17)	RR MI pool			
Selection 3 (11,16,17)	RR meta			
	0,01	0,1	2 10	10

FIGURE 1. Risk estimates of nine studies on multiple sclerosis and exposure to organic solvents and the results of meta-analyses of three subsets of the studies. The horizontal scale is logarithmic.

TABLE 4. Meta-analysis of Three Selections of Studies on Multiple Sclerosis and Solvent Exposure

	- Selection 1:	Selection 2:	Selection 3:
	All Studies with a	Studies with	Most Similar Studies
	Calculated Risk	Defined	with Defined
	Estimate	Exposures	Exposures
Number of studies	9	. 7	3
References	8–13, 16–19	11-13, 16-19	11, 16, 17
RR _{MHpool} * (95% CI)	2.1 (1.6–2.7)	1.8 (1.3–2.4)	1.7 (1.1–2.4)
RR _{meta} † (95% CI)	2.6 (2.0–3.3)	2.1 (1.5–2.9)	2.0 (1.6–2.5)

* RR_{MHpool} = Mantel-Haenszel calculation of ORs or RRs. † RR_{men} = calculated by formula; see text.⁷

reported exposure to "hazardous or toxic chemicals"15 to semiquantitative indices, calculated from hygiene records and air sampling.¹⁸ Some investigators^{11,16,17} provided estimates of the intensity of exposure using a quantitative classification,²² whereas other studies had more crudely defined exposure categories, such as none, mild, or heavy.^{12,13,19} In the majority of the studies, exposure was defined by occupation^{8-10,13,20} (Table 3).

Dose-response assessments in three studies^{11,16,18} were divergent: one study,18 using workers with disability as controls, found a dose-response relation; another study¹⁶ found a reverse relation, with the highest OR in patients with fewer symptoms of intoxication, but a positive dose-response relation when based on an exposure index weighted by duration of exposure; a third study¹¹ showed an increased risk for medium intensity of exposure but not for the high-intensity category of exposure, which included few individuals.

POOLED ANALYSES AND META-ANALYSES

The plotted RRs (or ORs) for the various studies center around 2, with confidence intervals of differing width (Figure 1). The larger studies show relatively narrow and overlapping confidence intervals. All of our analyses showed an overall RR in the range of 1.7-2.6. The RR_{meta} estimates were higher than the RR_{MHpool} estimates, evidently because the relative risk estimates were greater after adjustment for confound-

ing in some studies (Table 4).

Discussion

 $\vec{\gamma}$

ت

There are many known or suspected relations between organic solvents and human disease. Examples are peripheral neuropathy, benzene-induced hemopathy, leukemia, Hodgkin's disease, chronic glomerulonephritis, cirrhosis,²³ halothane-induced hepatitis,²⁴ and histiocytic lymphoma and lymphosarcoma.25 Several studies show altered function of the immune system on both cellular and molecular levels.^{23,26,27} Toxic effects of chronic exposure to solvents have been demonstrated at the central nervous system level, predominantly leading to degenerative changes and reproductive hazards.²³

Three case-control studies had fewer than 30 cases, limiting their informativeness.^{12,15,18} Case selection is a possible limitation in the two studies from our group, as we included cases with possible MS, some of whom may have had other disorders.^{11,17} The choice of hospital controls may be a problem in the study by Grönning et al,¹⁶ since they may not have been representative of the study population that produced the cases. The small effects seen by Nelson et al¹⁸ may stem from the selection of population controls from the same plants as the cases, a matching which was not controlled in their analysis. The excess risk from solvents may be more evident in male MS patients^{11,17}; Juntunen et al¹² studied mainly female patients, an approach that perhaps explains their smaller effect estimates.

The proportional mortality study from the United States indicated an increased mortality from MS in automobile mechanics and draftsmen, and from "inflammatory diseases of the nervous system" in airplane mechanics.²⁰ These occupations may entail exposure to solvents. Nevertheless, in groups such as painters and several other occupations with known solvent exposure, the proportional mortality ratios were not increased and, in fact, were sometimes lower than expected. Several occupations without solvent exposure showed elevated proportional mortality ratios. This study²⁰ therefore provides only equivocal support for an association between solvent exposure and MS.

Exposure assessment varied widely among the studies. If autoimmune mechanisms are involved in the pathogenesis of MS, a dose-effect model may not apply. If so, the quantification of solvent exposure may not be crucial, and studies with a weak exposure assessment may nevertheless be worth considering. Table 3 gives the reported means of assessing the solvent exposure in the different studies. Timing of exposure in relation to onset of disease was reported in only two of the studies, with an assumed induction time of 5 years.11,17

Some authors have criticized meta-analysis, especially outside the context of randomized clinical trials, because it may simply combine erroneous results from many studies.^{28,29} There are also doubts about weighting schemes in meta-analyses, since weighting adds subjective bias to the result, wastes information, and hides sources of heterogeneity.²⁹

We acknowledge reluctance to pool the results of prevalence comparisons with those of case-control studies, as we did in selection 1 (Table 4). Selection 2 contains only case-control studies, but they differ in quality and design. There is only minor heterogeneity (the Finnish co-twin study¹²). We believe selection 3 to be the most appropriate. Regardless of the weighting procedure, the results of the different analyses are similar, mitigating the methodologic concerns.

The association between solvent exposure and MS may involve differences in individual susceptibility, either from genetic differences or from a promoting effect through damage to the blood-brain barrier. Increased intrathecal albumin concentrations as a sign of bloodbrain barrier damage have been demonstrated in solvent-exposed individuals, although not consistently.^{27,30-32} Migration studies reveal that MS is initiated early in life.33-35 This finding does not rule out the possibility that exposure to solvents later in life may precipitate the clinical onset of MS or cause latent disease to become active.

Acknowledgments

We thank the Nordic Academy for Advanced Study, the Centre for Advanced Study at the Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters, and the Swedish Organisation for Neurologically Disabled, Neurologiskt Handikappades Riksförbund (NHR), for contributions that made this work possible.

References

- 1. Compston DAS. Genetic factors in the aetiology of multiple sclerosis. In: McDonald WI, Silberberg DH, eds. Multiple Sclerosis. Borough Green, Kent, United Kingdom: Butterworth, 1986;56-73.
- Weinshenker BG. Seeking the cause of multiple sclerosis (Editorial). Epi-2 demiology 1993;4:393-394.
- Acheson ED, The epidemiology of multiple sclerosis. In: Matthews WB, Acheson ED, Batchelor JR, Weller RO, eds. McAlpine's Multiple Sclerosis. New York: Churchill Livingstone, 1985;3-46.
- Slavin RE. Best evidence synthesis: an intelligent alternative to meta-analysis. J Clin Epidemiol 1995;1:9-18.
 Mantel N, Haenszel W. Statistical aspect of analysis of data from retrospec-tive endias of diagonal UNCL and Control International Control (1997).
- tive studies of disease. J Natl Cancer Inst 1959;23:719-748.
- 6. Epilnfo, Version 6.02. Atlanta: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1994
- 7. Ahlbom A. Meta-analysis. In: Biostatistics for Epidemiologists. Boca Raton, FL: Lewis, 1993;145–148. 8. Amaducci L, Arfaioli C, Inzitari D, Marci M. Multiple sclerosis among shoe
- and leather workers: an epidemiological survey in Florence. Acta Neurol Scand 1982;65:94-103.
- 9. Giuliani G, Brizoli E, Angeleri VA, Caraffa G, Foschih N, Speranzini C. The organic glue solvents in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis: an epidemiological study. International multiple sclerosis conference, Rome, ept. 14–17, 1988. In: Battaglia MA, Crimi G, eds. An Update on Multiple Sclerosis. Bologna: Monduzzi, 1989;243-247.
- Argeleri F, Bollettini G, Brizoli E, Giuliani G, Scarpino O. A prevalence study of multiple sclerosis in the Regione Marchi, Italy. International mul-tiple sclerosis conference, Rome, Sept. 14–17, 1988. In: Battaglia MA. Crimi G, eds. An Update on Multiple Sclerosis. Bologna: Monduzzi, 1989; 211.
- 11. Flodin U, Söderfeldt B, Noorlind Brage H, Fredriksson M, Axelson O. Multiple sclerosis, solvents and pets: a case-referent study. Arch Neurol 1988;45:620-623.
- 12. Juntunen J, Kinnunen E, Antti-Poika M, Koskenvuo M. Multiple sclerosis and occupational exposure to chemicals: a co-twin control study of a nationwide series of twins. Br J Ind Med 1989;46:417-419.
- Koch-Henriksen N. An epidemiological study of multiple sclerosis. Acta Neurol Scand Suppl 1989;80(suppl 124).
 Souberbielle BE, Martin-Mondiere C, O'Brien ME, Carydakis C, Cesaro P,
- Degos JD. A case-control epidemiological study of MS in the Paris area with particular reference to past disease history and profession. Acta Neurol Scand 1990:82:303-310.
- 15. Hopkins RS, Indian RW, Pinnow E, Garrison RP. Multiple sclerosis in Galion, Ohio: prevalence and results of a case-control study. Neuroepidemiology 1991;10:192-199.
- 16. Grönning M, Albrektsen G, Kvåle G, Moen B, Aarli JA, Nyland H. Organic solvents and multiple sclerosis: a case-control study. Acta Neurol Scand 1993;88:247-250.
- 17. Landtblom AM, Flodin U, Karlsson M, Pålhagen S, Axelson O. Multiple sclerosis and exposure to solvents, ionizing radiation and animals. Scand J Work Environ Health 1993;19:399-404.
- Nelson NA, Robins TG, White RF, Garrison RP. A case-control study of 18. chronic neuropsychiatric disease and organic solvent exposure in automobile plant workers. Occup Environ Med 1994;51:302–307. Casetta I, Granieri E, Malagu S, Tola M, Paolino E, Caniatti L, Govoni V,
- 19. Monetti V, Fainardi E. Environmental risk factors and multiple sclerosis: a

Epidemiology July 1996, Volume 7 Number 4

community-based, case-control study in the province of Ferrara, Italy. Neuroepidemiology 1994;13:120-128.

- Milham S Jr. Occupational Mortality in Washington State 1950–1979. DHHS Pub. No. (NIOSH)83-116. Cincinnati: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 1983;1663-1664.
- 21. Lauer K. Risk of multiple sclerosis in relation to industrial activities: an ecological study in four European countries. Neuroepidemiology 1989;8:38-42.
- Ravnskov U, Forsberg B, Skerfving S. Glomerulonephritis and exposure to organic solvents. Acta Med Scand 1979;205:575-579.
 Axelson O, Hogstedt C. The health effects of solvents. In: Zenz C, Dick-
- erson OB, Horvath EP Jr. Occupational Medicine. St. Louis: Mosby, 1994; 764-778.
- Vergani D, Mieli Vergani G, Alberti A, Neuberger J, Eddlestone ALWF, Davis M, Williams R. Antibodies to the surface of halothane-altered rabbit hepatocytes in patients with severe halothane-associated hepatitis. N Engl J Med 1980;303:66-71.
- Vianna NJ, Polan A. Lymphomas and occupational benzene exposure. Lancet 1979;30:1394–1395.
- Lancet 1973/01.1394-1393.
 Moszczynski P. Organic solvents and T-lymphocytes. Lancet 1981;21:438.
 Juntunen J, Taskinen E, Luisto M, Iivanainen M, Nurminen M. Cerebrospinal fluid cells and proteins in patients occupationally exposed to organic solvents. J Neurol Sci 1982;54:413-425.

- 28. Thompson SG, Pocock SJ. Can meta-analyses be trusted? Lancet 1991;338: 1127-1130.
- Greenland S. A critical look at some popular meta-analytic methods. Am J 29. Epidemiol 1994;140:290-296.
- 30. Moen BE, Kyvik KR, Engelsen BA, Riise T. Cerebrospinal fluid proteins and The result of the second second
- C, Johansson B. Cerebrospinal fluid proteins and cells in men subjected to long-term exposure to organic solvents. Acta Neurol Scand Suppl 1984; 70(suppl 100):113-119.
- 32. Barregård L, Wikkelsö C, Rosengren LE, Aurell A, Thiringer G, Nilson L. Cerebrospinal fluid proteins in men with chronic encephalopathy after exposure to organic solvents. Scand J Work Environ Health 1990;16:423-427.
- 33. Kurtzke JF. A method for estimating the age at immigration of white immigrants to South Africa with an example of its importance. S Afr Med I 1970:1:663--669.
- 34. Elian M, Nightingale S, Dean G. Multiple sclerosis among United Kingdom born children of immigrants from the Indian subcontinent, Africa and the West Indies. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry 1990;53:906-911.
 Visscher BR, Bunnell DH, Detels R. Multiple sclerosis and multiple moves:
- an aetiologic hypothesis. Am J Epidemiol 1981;113:140-143.